Chapter 11

ARE ALL HUMANISTS HUMANISTS?
Not Knowing the Difference Could Be Fatal

Humanists are masters of deception. One of their favorite techniques is to take words with good connotations and use them to name one of their wicked organizations. Even though their organizations may stand for the exact opposite of what the words means, most people’s initial impression of those organizations will be favorable because they are unaware that the good words are used to deceive them.

Ancient humanists Were Not Like Modern humanists

The words humanist and humanism are good examples of this deceptive practice. Before modern Humanists hijacked these words for their own use these words had no atheistic implications.

Ancient humanists

For instance, in the field of literature a humanist was a person that had passion humanities—the languages, history, art, the social sciences, etc.—, as opposed to someone whose passion was studying the natural sciences. During the Renaissance the word humanism referred to the revival of interest in studying the classical letters written in the Greek language, including the New Testament of the Bible. Erasmas, who produced the first printed edition of the Textus Receptus Greek New Testament, was this type of humanist. Humanism in those days was not a religion, and there was, of course, nothing wicked or dangerous about humanists such as those. Modern day humanism, however, is a religion, is based squarely on atheism, is organized into political action groups very similar to the Communist Party, and its leaders are wicked and dangerous in ideology and goals. Millions of American babies have already been murdered due to the efforts of these people to kill our soldiers before they are even born.

Modern humanists

The American Humanist Association describes modern day humanism as follows: MODERN HUMANISM, also called Naturalistic Humanism, Scientific Humanism, Ethical Humanism and Democratic Humanism is defined by one of its leading proponents, Corliss Lamont, as "a naturalistic philosophy that rejects all supernaturalism and relies primarily upon reason and science, democracy and human compassion." Modern Humanism has a dual origin, both secular and religious, and these constitute its sub-categories.

SECULAR HUMANISM is an outgrowth of 18th century enlightenment rationalism and 19th century freethought. Many secular groups, such as the Council for Democratic and Secular Humanism and the American Rationalist Federation, and many otherwise unaffiliated academic philosophers and scientists, advocate this philosophy.

RELIGIOUS HUMANISM emerged out of Ethical Culture, Unitarianism, and Universalism. Today, many Unitarian-Universalist congregations and all Ethical Culture societies describe themselves as humanist in the modern sense.173

Remember, calling something scientific, ethical or Democratic does not make it so. Just saying that an organization “relies primarily upon reason and science, democracy and human compassion” does not mean
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that it actually does. We must not be naive, especially when we can see that the very opposite is the truth. Humanists are masters of deceit.

The one element of truth in the above definition is that modern humanism “rejects all supernaturalism.” In other words, modern humanists reject—and are at war with—God.

**Secular and Religious Humanism the Same**

For all practical purposes, there is no difference between secular and religious humanists. As the American Humanist Association explains:

![THE HUMANIST magazine is the most influential of the many Humanist publications.](image)

The most critical irony in dealing with Modern Humanism is the inability of its advocates to agree on whether or not this worldview is religious. Those who see it as philosophy are the Secular Humanists while those who see it as religion are Religious Humanists. This dispute has been going on since the early years of this century when the secular and religious traditions converged and brought Modern Humanism into existence.

**Secular and Religious Humanists both share the same worldview and the same basic principles.** This is made evident by the fact that both Secular and Religious Humanists were among the signers of Humanist Manifesto I in 1933 and Humanist Manifesto II in 1973. From the standpoint of philosophy alone, there is no difference between the two. It is only in the definition of religion and in the practice of the philosophy that Religious and Secular Humanists effectively disagree.…while Secular Humanists may agree with much of what religious Humanists do, they deny that this activity is properly called "religious." This isn’t a mere semantic debate. Secular Humanists maintain that there is so much in religion deserving of criticism that the good name of Humanism should not be tainted by connection with it.

Secular Humanists often refer to Unitarian Universalists as "Humanists not yet out of the church habit." But Unitarian-Universalists sometimes counter that a secular Humanist is simply an "unchurched Unitarian."[174] (Emphasis added.)

Both those who call themselves secular humanists and those who call themselves religious humanists are members of the same American Humanist Association, and signed the same Humanist Manifesto I which established Humanism as a “frank religion.” As its leaders all know, humanism is a religion whether some of its followers want to admit it or not.

Most Christians have been warned about “secular humanism,” but have never heard of “religious humanism.” That is most unfortunate, as understanding that Humanism is a religion is essential to ending its dominance of education in the USA.

**The Difference Between humanists and Humanists**

While there is no practical difference between secular humanists and religious humanists, there is a way to divide modern day humanists that does make a difference. The American Humanist Association distinguishes between humanists with a lower case h (people espousing humanist philosophy), and Humanists with a capital H (members of their association or of one or more of its front groups).[175]

---
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humanists with a lower case h

The vast majority of people who live their lives according to the principles of humanism are not members of any organized humanist movement. Many of them do not even realize that they are humanists. They are not conscious that humanism is a religion. They simply accepted the Theory of Evolution as scientific fact because they were taught humanist religious dogma in public school. They were just children at the time, and never really questioned what they were taught, but simply accepted it in blind faith, and based their whole idea-system upon it, just as their humanist or Humanist teachers hoped that they would.

Humanists With an Upper Case H

But there is another group of humanists which is highly organized into religious and political action subgroups. This group is the most dangerous one, for it has international goals of world conquest—with very detailed and well-thought-out plans for reaching those goals. Very similar—in fact, almost identical—to the communist party in philosophy and goals, these Humanists work through many front organizations which are careful not to include the words “humanism” or “humanist” in their names. The remainder of this book will expose several of the most important of these front groups. In the United States these Humanists are united under the umbrella of the American Humanist Association. Worldwide they are united under the umbrella of the International Humanist and Ethical Union (IHEU). This book refers to members of these groups not as “humanists” but as “Humanists” (with a capital H). They deserve this distinction for they are the ones working fervently toward clearly defined goals which are international in scope.

humanists contrasted with Humanists

Let us consider these two groups (humanists and Humanists) in more detail.

Characteristics of humanists—lower case h

The typical humanist in this group most likely does not know that he is a humanist. He may not even know what a humanist is. He may be a minister in a Christian church, or a teacher in a mosque. He may be a school teacher, a college student, or a worker in just about any occupation or profession. He is a humanist, not because he has joined any organization, but simply because he has accepted the Theory of Evolution as scientifically proven fact. His faith in the Genesis account of creation (and therefore in the whole Bible) has been greatly shaken or completely destroyed, and he therefore no longer lives his life in submission to the dictates of God’s revealed Word. He now considers human reason at least equally authoritative with the Bible, or may consider the Bible to have no authority whatsoever. After all, if the very first chapter of the Bible cannot be believed literally, why should other parts of it be taken as literally true? He may still claim to be a Christian, but Christianity is no longer a vital influence in his life. In fact, deep inside, he doubts that there is really a God and he feels that Christianity restricts his personal freedom. He would probably never say this publicly because his parents and many of the people he grew up with still go to church and consider atheism a vile evil. But he secretly resents having some of his favorite pastimes called “sin.” He has deep contempt for “Bible-quoting fundamentalists.” Christianity is no longer a living influence to him, though he may still turn (rather resentfully) to Christianity when a ritual such as a wedding or funeral is needed. For all practical purposes his Christianity (if he claims any) is already dead—and beginning to stink.

When religions decay, form generally outlasts substance: rituals continue to be observed, sometimes even intensified, but they move outside the lives of the people who practice them. In these circumstances, ritual is celebrated but no longer believed; it may even become embarrassing. Vital religions are different. Although the extent of ritual observance varies from one to another, all living religions are part of daily life and their central tenets are accepted as truths that need no further verification.
Humanism is one of the vital religions, perhaps no longer growing but very much alive. It is the dominant religion of our
time, a part of the lives of nearly everyone in the "developed" world and of all others who want to participate in a similar develop-
ment. There is very little ritual in humanism, and most of its devout followers do not seem to be aware that they are humanists.
Ask them for the name of their religion and they will deny having one, or, more commonly, name one of the traditional faiths. On
the other hand, people who consider themselves humanists usually are—frequently, however, for reasons other than the ones
they know and admit.

Can a person unknowingly belong to one religion while under the impression that he or she is part of another? If that per-
son believes in the dogma of the former and only celebrates the latter, why not?176

Some public school teachers fall within this group. And because they know about the second group, and are
not a part of them, they deny being humanists. However, they are still teaching humanist doctrine, even if
they do not realize it. And thereby they are helping the Humanist cause. They may not be Humanists, but they
are nevertheless humanists.

The fact is, the vast majority of public school teachers are humanists, and many are active members of
the American Humanist Association or one or more of its front groups, and are purposely and zealously
using the public school system to brainwash our children in Humanist religious dogma.

**Characteristics of Humanists—**with an upper case H

The distinguishing mark of a Humanist (with a capital H) is that he has joined one or more of the
organizations associated with the International Humanist and Ethical Union177, and is working according to
clearly laid out plans (Humanist Manifesto I & II) to change the culture, values, politics and religion of the
country he lives in. Especially, he wants to destroy people’s faith in the Bible and in God. As the following
words from Humanist leader Edward L. Ericson show, Humanism is an international conspiracy against
God:

> Whether individual Humanists, or particular groups of Humanists, prefer to consider Humanism as religious (the position taken
> here [in Ericson’s book]), or as solely philosophical, Humanists generally are in agreement that human life is the outcome of an
> incalculably dynamic natural universe in its ongoing evolutionary progression. In this conception of reality there is no need to
> assume a supernatural intelligence presiding over the origin and destiny of life or the cosmos.

While millions of people in the United States, and millions more around the world, subscribe to the concepts and attitudes
expressed above as a purely personal philosophy, or faith, Ethical Humanism also exists as an organized religious and ethical
movement. Founded more than a century ago in New York City as the Society for Ethical Culture, the movement has grown into a
national federation of local societies known as the American Ethical Union. A European Ethical movement, headquartered in Swit-
zerland, was organized soon after the American development.

Individual societies may be known as Ethical societies, Ethical Culture societies, or Ethical Humanist societies, according to
local preference. But regardless of variations in name, all member groups of the American Ethical Union share the same essential
moral and spiritual faith that has come to be known as Ethical Humanism.

Today Ethical Humanism is part of the global Humanist movement. In 1952 the American Ethical Union collaborated with
the American Humanist Association and other Humanist and Ethical bodies in Britain, Western Europe and India to organize a
worldwide alliance of Ethical Culture and Humanist groups named the International Humanist and Ethical Union (IHEU). Although
each member association retains its independence and historic identity, all are linked in a worldwide community for the promo-
tion of Ethical Humanist principles and ideals.178

The Humanists are the most dangerous humanists because they are so organized and influential.
Because American Humanists have succeeded in gaining dominance in the US Supreme Court, in public

---

177 The International Humanist and Ethical Union is present located at 1 Gower Street, London, WC1E 6HD, United Kingdom. “IHEU shares the premises with the British Humanist Association and the Rationalist Press Association.” It is “located just behind the British Museum.” (http://www.iheu.org/address)
education, and in the communications media, they pose a far greater threat to the United States of America than the communist party of the Soviet Union ever did.

**Humanists contrasted with Communists**

It must be pointed out again that communism is, in fact, a form of humanism. All communists are humanists. While not all humanists are communists, they are nevertheless of the same basic beliefs and goals. Humanist minister Ericson admits that Communism is at heart intensely humanistic, for it contains the central idea that rational planning can alter any pre-existing condition of man.179 [Emphasis added.]

And Ehrenfield warns that the most openly and avowedly humanistic philosophies are the liberal group, which includes all forms of communism, socialism, and moderate liberalism. Classical communism, with Marx’s dream of a classless society and a minimal government achieved by social engineering, is the most committed of these to the humanistic assumptions, and it is the one that has failed the most dramatically. First in Russia and now in China the humanist dream of a perfectible life has crumbled. . . . And in both these humanistic countries the vaunted freedom that the humanists admire has vanished without a trace. Each time a dream crumbles a new generation of believers is disillusioned, then makes excuses, then starts over again with the same dream in another country . . . . their good intentions have been overwhelmed by the falseness of their basic assumptions, and it is time for the morally just, the humane, and the ecologically sophisticated people of the twentieth century to admit this before any more damage is done.180 [Emphasis added.]

The main difference between Humanists and Communists is that Communists believe in using guns to force their humanism upon a population, but Humanists realized that it is wiser to work through the communications media and the public school systems to brainwash people (especially children) so as to eventually take power through popular vote. As we saw with the election of humanist Bill Clinton to the presidency, and in the Gore versus Bush election, they had already achieved their objective only to lose it due to President Clinton committing adultery with Monica Lewinsky.

Especially in the USA, Humanists realize that humanism cannot be imposed upon the population by physical force due to the large segment of the US population which owns guns, and therefore could mount vigorous resistance. That is why they are working so hard to get gun-control legislation passed. Once guns have been taken away from the American citizens, we can expect Humanists to begin to use much harsher methods to force complete implementation of humanist principles. Meanwhile, expect continued lies, disinformation, and treasonous support of our enemies from the Humanist dominated news media.

**Humanists Contrast With Patriots**

As avid internationalists, Humanists are loyal to the United Nations and not to the United States of America. As the American Humanist Association boasts:

The United Nations is a specific example of Humanism at work. The first Director General of UNESCO, the UN organization promoting education, science, and culture, was the 1962 Humanist of the Year Julian Huxley, who practically drafted UNESCO’S charter by himself. The first Director-General of the World Health Organization was the 1959 Humanist of the Year Brock Chisholm . . . . And the first Director-General of the Food and Agricultural Organization was British Humanist John Boyd Orr.181

It is clear from the above quote why the UN opposes the USA on just about every issue. As the only remaining superpower, the USA stands directly in the way of the Humanist dream of Humanism being the government of the world. The American Humanist Association therefore has voiced its opposition to President George W. Bush’s administration, as follows:

---


180 Ibid., 249–50.
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The AHA has supported the work of the United Nations for decades. It has issued many strong resolutions in support of the vision of a global, inter-related world. These resolutions include the dedication of the AHA to lobby our domestic government in support of United Nations and its treaties and conventions.

However, the current climate of aggressive unilateralism pursued by the US has not only brought a cold chill to these many years of work, but left the United States in a lonely position in the world. While many new and emerging countries have embedded the International Declaration of Human Rights, a purely Humanist document, in their new constitutions, and made concerted efforts to apply the standards of the United Nations conventions in the fields of women’s rights, the environment, arms proliferation and social development, the U.S. has repeatedly repudiated and withdrawn from these agreements. And the US, of all nations the proponent of democracy, has opted out of the new International Criminal court.

As we continue to promote the cause of Humanism at the United Nations, we call for the renewed dedication of the AHA and its members, to “think globally and act locally.” And to renew our efforts to inform and urge Congress to act wisely, logically and heroically to restore the United States to the world stage in a position of leadership instead of one of belligerence and diplomatic isolation. Why does the US “repudiate” or “withdraw” from these UN agreements? Because those UN agreements compromise or completely invalidate US sovereignty. Because they would rob US citizens of basic human rights.

Why has the US “opted out” of the new International Criminal Court? Because it would supersede and invalidate the US Supreme Court. Because US soldiers could be tried as war criminals before that UN “court” by foreign judges from the very countries which are promoting aggression against the USA and which our soldiers are fighting.

The Humanist groups support the UN in these matters because their loyalty is not to the USA but to the international Humanist movement. Humanists love the UN because it is a Humanist organization with a phony and “purely humanist” Declaration of Human Rights based squarely on atheism. No true Christian can support the United Nations. It is designed from its very foundation to be anti-God, anti-Christian and pro-Communist. It should not be allowed on U.S. soil. This avid loyalty to the United Nations is also why Humanists speak with such contempt for patriotism. Humanists consider people who put the interests of the USA above the interests of the United Nations to be their enemies. They hate our stars and stripes flag. They take the side of our enemies in every war.

Viet Nam veterans should take note that pro-Communist “Hanoi” Jane Fonda, the woman that went to Viet Nam during the Viet Nam War to demonstrate against our country, married the 1990 Humanist of the

THE HUXLEYS were very important prophets of the modern Humanist religion. Thomas Huxley (left) was known as "Darwin’s bulldog" because of his vigorous preaching of humanist theology. He was the first person to call himself an agnostic. The second picture shows his grandson, Julian on his lap. The third picture is of Julian as an adult. Julian was one of the signers of Humanist Manifesto I. He became the first head of UNESCO, and in that position made Humanism the religion of the United Nations. The last picture is of Aldus, Julian’s younger brother. Aldus became a novelist and toured the USA visiting college campuses to introduce mind-altering drugs to students as a replacement for the Holy Spirit.

183 The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), a Humanist front organization, is notorious for defending what they call “the right” of people to desecrate the flag, under the guise of defending freedom of speech.
Year Ted Turner. Ted Turner is the man that donated one billion dollars to the United Nations. In the case of Ted Turner and Jane Fonda, the marriage between Humanists and Communists was more than just a marriage of common dogma and goals.\textsuperscript{184}

**Humanists Indirectly Advocate the Violent Overthrow of the United States Government**

Humanists learned from the mistakes of the Communist Party USA. The Communists made the mistake of openly advocating the violent overthrow of the US government.

In 1948, for the first time since the 1920’s, the [Communist] Party found itself on the defensive when the Department of Justice initiated prosecution against its leaders. The twelve members of the Party’s National Board were indicted under the Smith Act (enacted in 1940), which prohibits any conspiracy that advocates the overthrow of the United States government by force and violence. Previously, in 1941, the government had instituted prosecutions against members of the Socialist Workers Party (Trotskyites) under this statute. Other statutes since used by the government in the attack on the Party include the Internal Security Act of 1950 and the Communist Control Act of 1954.

In a long trial, running through most of 1949, eleven members were convicted, the twelfth, William Z. Foster, having been severed from the trial because of illness. In June, 1951 the Supreme Court upheld these convictions, and the government subsequently took prosecutive action against additional Party leaders.

This government prosecution was a strong disabling blow against the Party. Many of its top leaders were arrested and convicted. Others lived in fear of arrest. As a result the Party to a large extent went underground in the first large-scale underground operation since the early 1920’s. Party officers were closed, top leaders went into hiding, records were destroyed. Courier systems were instituted and clubs broken up into small units, if not completely disbanded. For about four years, for mid-1951 to mid-1955, the Party in protecting itself spent energy, time, and money that otherwise would have gone into agitation and propaganda.\textsuperscript{185}

Humanists determined not to make the same mistake. They are careful not to openly call for the violent overthrow of the US government. This has proven to be a very wise policy on their part, as it has enabled them to use the freedoms provided by the Bill of Rights to openly operate in opposition to our government in the news media, in courts of law, and in public schools.

However, the fact that Humanists are not openly calling for the violent overthrow of our government does not mean that they are not indirectly advocating it. Examples of Humanist sedition include them declaring war on President Bush instead of on Al Qaeda after 9/11, saying that President Bush lied about weapons of mass destruction in Iraq; blaming the results of Hurricane Katrina on President Bush; providing lawyers for terrorists that attacked us; ignoring the abuse of our soldiers and the Iraqi people by terrorists while publicizing the pictures of abuse at Abu Ghurayb prison world-wide so as to encourage Muslims everywhere to attack the USA; implying that that abuse was ordered by the Bush administration; sending Cindy Sheehan to camp in front of President Bush’s ranch and accuse him of murdering her son; insinuating that President Bush is the behind the scenes cause of the high price of fuel; condemning the efforts of our government to prevent terrorists and others from illegally crossing the border from Mexico; distributing a petition to stop the Bush administration from preventing Iran from developing nuclear weapons; openly calling for the impeachment of President Bush. All of this activity encourages our enemies to keep fighting us, and results in more of our soldiers being killed in Afghanistan and Iraq.

\textsuperscript{184} They have since divorced. During the stress leading up to this divorce, Fonda reportedly became a Christian. However, time has shown that her profession was false. She is still actively promoting abortion and other humanist causes.

\textsuperscript{185} Hoover, Masters of Deceit, 68–69.
The darker the areas are on the above map the more Humanists organizations there are. “IHEU [International Humanist and Ethical Union] is a union of more than 100 organizations from 40 nations, with special consultative status with the United Nations in New York, Geneva and Vienna, and a general consultative status at UNICEF in New York and the Council of Europe in Strasbourg. IHEU also maintains operational relations with UNESCO in Paris.” (http://www.humanistbioethics.org/)